

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2550
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104-4303
TELEPHONE 415-281-2024
FACSIMILE 415-281-2010

David A. Tartaglio (State Bar No. 117232)
d.tartaglio@mpglaw.com
Catherine M. Lee (State Bar No. 197197)
c.lee@mpglaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

OPTIONS NATIONAL FERTILITY
REGISTRY, a California Corporation, and
JESSICA and class of plaintiffs believed to be
similarly situated (women egg donors whose
eggs were allegedly given to unknown and
unauthorized recipients via "egg sharing"
without their informed consent, in violation of
an existing legally binding contract),

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE; SOCIETY
FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE
TECHNOLOGY; DOES 1 through 102
(REGISTERED INFERTILITY
PHYSICIANS) AND DOES 103 through 1500
(FERTILITY CLINICS AND ASSOCIATED
PROFESSIONAL DEFENDANTS),

Defendants.

Case No. C 07 5238 JF

Complaint Filed: October 12, 2007

**SECOND STIPULATION PURSUANT TO
L.R. 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

Trial Date: None.

1 Plaintiffs and defendants The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and
2 Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, specially appearing by and through their
3 respective counsel, hereby stipulate that defendants shall have a further seven day extension of
4 time, to and including May 9, 2008, in which to respond to the Complaint filed herein. This
5 extension is needed because defendants just retained counsel and counsel has not had sufficient
6 time to investigate the claims in plaintiff's Complaint in order to prepare a response. The
7 extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

8 The parties agree that this stipulation will not prejudice either party's rights with
9 respect to this proceeding before the United States District Court for the Northern District of
10 California.

11
12 2
13 DATED: May __, 2008

Respectfully submitted,
LAW OFFICES OF STANLEY G. HILTON

14
15 By: 
16 Stanley G. Hilton
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

17 DATED: May __, 2008

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

18
19 By: _____
20 Catherine M. Lee
21 Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
22 SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
23 and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
24 REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY
25
26
27
28

1 Plaintiffs and defendants The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and
 2 Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, specially appearing by and through their
 3 respective counsel, hereby stipulate that defendants shall have a further seven day extension of
 4 time, to and including May 9, 2008, in which to respond to the Complaint filed herein. This
 5 extension is needed because defendants just retained counsel and counsel has not had sufficient
 6 time to investigate the claims in plaintiff's Complaint in order to prepare a response. The
 7 extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

8 The parties agree that this stipulation will not prejudice either party's rights with
 9 respect to this proceeding before the United States District Court for the Northern District of
 10 California.

11
 12 Respectfully submitted,

13 DATED: May __, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF STANLEY G. HILTON

14
 15 By: _____

Stanley G. Hilton
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

16
 17 DATED: May 2, 2008

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

18
 19 By: _____

Catherine M. Lee
 Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
 SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
 and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
 REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY